UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,937	05/03/2006	Kouji Yoshizaki	127916	5739
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 10/04/200 RIDGE, PLC	7	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 19928			HOANG, JOHNNY H	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3747	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Anntinonto
9	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summan	10/577,937	YOSHIZAKI, KOUJI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Johnny H. Hoang	3747
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will be reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Mar</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice under Express	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 3 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 3 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 03 May 2006 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	election requirement.  ∴  ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be described.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
	animer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/3/06.  S Palent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite

Application/Control Number: 10/577,937

Art Unit: 3747

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification ·

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract is too long. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krampe et al (US 5,690,627).

Krampe ('627) discloses an internal combustion engine operates with eight cylinders and divides into two banks (col. 5, lines 33-53; and Fig. 5a, 5b); the engine also includes solenoid valves (units 130-134, Fig. 1) for metering fuel to the injectors (units 120-124, Fig. 1), fuel arrives from a rail (unit 135, Fig. 1) via injectors into the cylinders of engine (unit 100, Fig. 1).

Art Unit: 3747

Also note Figure 1 is illustrated the operation of one bank in the V-eight engine (see specification). Krampe ('627) does not use the valve drive units, but rather uses solenoid valves. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to have used a valve drive units [which applicant is place on official notice that it is well known in the art to use a valve drive unit as means of driving the fuel injection valves to open and close (col. 2, lines 3-46)] instead of the solenoid valves of Krampe ('627) as an alternate way of driving the injection valves to open and close which are provided on the cylinders by energizing these fuel injections valves. Applicant has not disclosed any structure of the valve drive unit that would differentiate it from what is already known. Furthermore, the applicant is also placed on desire choice that a first valve drive unit for controlling the fuel to the first cylinder, the fourth cylinder, the sixth cylinder and the seventh cylinder; second valve drive unit for controlling the second cylinder, the third cylinder, the fifth cylinder, and the eight cylinder are known techniques of the design arrangement of drive unit for controlling the specific injection valves to specific cylinder. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to be capable of performing the same desired functions/results in Krampe ('627) to provide the desired functions/results to the system.

## Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The reference has been cited as art of interest to show other methods for using the unit drive or solenoid valve to control the injection valve in the internal combustion engine.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Johnny H. Hoang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4843.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,937

Art Unit: 3747

supervisor, Stephen K. Cronin can be reached on (571) 272-4536. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you

would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JHH September 25, 2007

Johnny H. Hoang Examiner Art Unit 3747

Page 4